IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Stacy Antonio Hill,	Civil Action No.: 8:08-3112-GRA-BHH
Plaintiff,	
vs.)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Director Larry W. Powers,	<u> </u>
Spartanburg County Detention)	
Center; Ms. Maxwell, Kitchen	
Supervisor, Spartanburg County)	
Detention Center; Cpl. Dogan,)	
Spartanburg County Detention)	
Center,	
Defendants.	

The plaintiff brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983. On January 29, 2009, the defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. On January 30, 2009, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), the plaintiff was advised of the summary judgment procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately. Despite this explanation, the plaintiff did not respond to the motion.

As the plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court filed a second order on March 12, 2009, giving the plaintiff through April 6, 2009, to file his response to the motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond, this action would be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The plaintiff did not respond.

Based on the foregoing, it appears the plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this action. Accordingly, it is recommended that this action be dismissed with prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with this Court's orders, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the factors outlined in *Chandler Leasing Corp.*

v. Lopez, 669 F.2d 919,	920 (4th Cir.1982).	See Ballard v.	Carlson,	882 F.2d	93 (4th	Cir.
1989).						

BRUCE H. HENDRICKS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

April 14, 2009

Greenville, South Carolina